arXiv:math/0111284v1 [math.LO] 27 Nov 2001

STRONGLY MEAGER SETS CAN BE QUITE BIG

TOMEK BARTOSZYNSKI, ANDRZEJ NOWIK, AND TOMASZ WEISS

Abstract. Assume CH. There exists a strongly meager set $X \subseteq 2^\omega$ and a continuous function $F : 2^\omega \longrightarrow 2^\omega$ such that $F"(X) = 2^\omega$.

1. Introduction

A set $X \subseteq 2^\omega$ is strongly meager ($X \in \mathcal{SM}$) if for every null set $H \in \mathcal{N}$, $X + H \neq \emptyset$. A set $X \subseteq 2^\omega$ is strongly measure zero ($X \in \mathcal{SN}$) if for every meager set $F \in \mathcal{M}$, $X + F \neq \emptyset$.

Let $\mathcal{I} = \{X \subseteq 2^\omega : \forall F : 2^\omega \longrightarrow 2^\omega \text{ continuous}, F"(X) \neq 2^\omega\}$.

The following is well-known:

Lemma 1. 1. *$\mathcal{I}$ is a σ-ideal,*
2. *$\mathcal{I} \subseteq (s)_0$,*
3. *$\mathcal{I} \neq (s)_0$ (in ZFC).*

Notice that such a σ – ideal was defined and investigated in several papers, see for example [4].

Since strongly meager sets and strong measure zero sets are $(s)_0$ it makes sense to ask if they are in $\mathcal{I}$.

It is well-known that $\mathcal{SN} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$. In fact, if $F : 2^\omega \longrightarrow 2^\omega$ is a continuous function and $X \in \mathcal{SN}$ then $F"(X) \in \mathcal{SN}$.

The purpose of this paper is to show:

Theorem 2. *It is consistent with ZFC that $\mathcal{SM} \not\subseteq \mathcal{I}$.*

Let us remark that we also have,

Theorem 3 ([2])**.** *It is consistent with ZFC that $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{SM}$.*

It is also easy to see that (under CH for example) $\mathcal{I} \not\subseteq \mathcal{SM}$.

2. Combinatorics

The following theorem is the finitary version of the construction.

Theorem 4. *For every $k \in \omega$, $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ there exists $n \in \omega$ such that if $I \subseteq \omega$, $|I| > n$ then there is a partition $2^I = a^0 \dot\cup a^1$ such that*

1. *if $X \subseteq 2^I$, $|X| \leq k$ then $\left| \dfrac{|\bigcap_{x \in X}(a^0 + x)|}{2^{|I|}} - \dfrac{1}{2^{|X|}} \right| < \delta$,*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 03E15, 03E20, 28E15.

Key words and phrases. Strongly meager sets, uniformly continuous images.

The first author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS 9971282 and Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

2. *If* $U \subseteq 2^I$, *and* $\frac{|U|}{2^{|I|}} = a \geq \varepsilon$ *then there exists a set* $T_U \subseteq 2^I$, $\frac{|T_U|}{2^{|I|}} > 1-\delta$ *such that for every* $s \in T_U$ $\left|\frac{|(a^0+s)\cap U|}{2^{|I|}} - \frac{a}{2}\right| < \delta$.

Proof. (1) This is a special case of a result proved in [3] Fix k, ε, δ, and choose the set $C \subseteq 2^I$ randomly (for the moment I is arbitrary). For each $s \in 2^I$ decisions whether $s \in C$ are made independently with the probability of $s \in C$ equal to $1/2$. Thus the set C is a result of a sequence of Bernoulli trials. Note that by the Chebyshev's inequality, the probability that $1/2 + \delta \geq |C| \cdot 2^{-|I|} \geq 1/2 - \delta$ approaches 1 as $|I|$ goes to infinity.

Let S_n be the number of successes in n independent Bernoulli trials with probability of success p. We will need the following well–known fact.

Theorem 5 (Bernstein's Inequality)**.** *For every* $\delta > 0$,

$$P\left(\left|\frac{S_n}{n} - p\right| \geq \delta\right) \leq 2e^{-n\delta^2/4}.$$

Consider an arbitrary set $X \subseteq 2^I$. To simplify the notation denote $V = 2^I \setminus C$ and note that $\bigcap_{s\in X}(C+s) = 2^I \setminus (V+X)$. For a point $t \in 2^I$, $t \notin X+V$ is equivalent to $(t+X)\cap V = \emptyset$. Thus the probability that $t \notin X+V$ is equal to $2^{-|X|}$, as $t \notin X+V$ means that $t+x \notin V$ for $x \in X$.

Let $G(X)$ be a subgroup of $(2^I, +)$ generated by X. Since every element of 2^I has order 2, it follows that $|G(X)| \leq 2^{|X|}$.

Lemma 6. *There are sets* $\{U_j : j \leq |G(X)|\}$ *such that:*

1. $\forall j\ \forall s,t \in U_j\ \big(s \neq t \to s+t \notin G(X)\big)$,
2. $\forall j \leq |G(X)|\ |U_j| = 2^{|I|}/|G(X)|$,
3. $\forall i \neq j\ U_i \cap U_j = \emptyset$,
4. $\bigcup_{j\leq |G(X)|} U_j = 2^I$.

Proof Choose U_j's to be disjoint selectors from the cosets $2^I/G(X)$. □

Note that if $t_1, t_2 \in U_j$ then the events $t_1 \in X+V$ and $t_2 \in X+V$ are independent since sets t_1+X and t_2+X are disjoint. Consider the sets $X_j = U_j \cap \bigcap_{s\in X}(C+s)$ for $j \leq |G(X)|$. The expected value of the size of this set is $2^{-|X|}\cdot 2^{|I|}/|G(X)|$. By theorem 5 for each $j \leq |G(X)|$,

$$P\left(\left|\frac{|X_j|}{2^{|I|}/|G(X)|} - 2^{-|X|}\right| \geq \delta\right) \leq 2e^{-2^{|I|-2}\delta^2/|G(X)|}.$$

It follows that for every $X \subseteq 2^I$ the probability that

$$2^{-|X|} - \delta \leq \frac{\left|\bigcap_{s\in X}(C+s)\right|}{2^{|I|}} \leq 2^{-|X|} + \delta$$

is at least

$$1 - 2|G(X)|e^{-2^{|I|-2}\delta^2/|G(X)|} \geq 1 - 2^{|X|+1}e^{-2^{|I|-|X|-2}\delta^2}.$$

The probability that it happens for every X of size $\leq k$ is at least

$$1 - 2^{|I|\cdot(k+1)^2}\cdot e^{-2^{|I|-k-2}\delta^2}.$$

If k and δ are fixed then this expression approaches 1 as $|I|$ goes to infinity, since $\lim_{x\to\infty} P(x)e^{-x} = 0$ for any polynomial $P(x)$. It follows that for sufficiently large

$|I|$ the probability that the "random" set C has the required properties is > 0. Thus there exists an actual C with these properties as well.

(2) Let

$$A = \{(s,t) : t \in a^0 + s\}$$

Note that A is symmetric, that $(A)_s = \{t : (s,t) \in A\} = (A)^s = \{t : (t,s) \in A\}$.

Let $U \subseteq 2^I$ be such that $\frac{|U|}{2^{|I|}} = a \geq \varepsilon$. Consider sets $(A)^s = a^0 + s$ for $s \in U$. We want to know how many vertical sections of the set $A \cap (2^I \times U)$ are of size approximately $1/2$ relative to U. Fix $s \in 2^I$ and consider the set $\frac{|U \cap (A)_s|}{|U|}$. By the choice of a_0 the events $u \in a_0 + s$ (equivalent to $u + s \in a_0$) are pairwise independent with probability $1/2$. Thus, by theorem 5,

$$P\left(\left|\frac{|(A)_s \cap U|}{|U|} - \frac{1}{2}\right| \geq \delta\right) < 2e^{\frac{-|U|\delta^2}{4}}.$$

Probability that it holds for some s is at most $2^{|I|+1}e^{\frac{-|U|\delta^2}{4}}$. Since $\frac{|U|}{2^{|I|}} = a \geq \varepsilon$ we get

$$P\left(\left|\frac{|(A)_s \cap U|}{|U|} - \frac{1}{2}\right| \geq \delta\right) = P\left(\left|\frac{|(A)_s \cap U|}{2^{|I|}} \cdot \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{2}\right| \geq \delta\right) =$$
$$P\left(\left|\frac{|(A)_s \cap U|}{2^{|I|}} - \frac{a}{2}\right| \geq a\delta\right) \leq 2^{|I|+1}e^{\frac{-|U|\delta^2}{4}} \leq 2^{|I|+1}e^{\frac{-2^{|I|}\varepsilon\delta^2}{4}}$$

It follows that

$$P\left(\left|\frac{|(A)_s \cap U|}{2^{|I|}} - \frac{a}{2}\right| \geq \delta\right) \leq 2^{|I|+1}e^{\frac{-2^{|I|}\varepsilon\delta^2}{4}} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } |I| \to \infty.$$

□

Definition 7 ([3])**.** *Suppose that I is a finite set. A distribution is a function $m : I \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$0 \leq m(x) \leq \frac{1}{|I|}.$$

Let $\overline{m} = \sum_{s \in I} m(s)$.

To illustrate this concept suppose that $A \subseteq 2^\omega$ is a measurable set and $n \in \omega$. Define m on 2^n by $m(s) = \mu(A \cap [s])$ for $s \in 2^n$. A specific instance of this definition that we will use often in the sequel is when A is a clopen set. In particular, if $K = I_1 \cup I_2$ and $J \subseteq 2^K$, define distribution m on 2^{I_1} as follows: for $s \in 2^{I_1}$ let

$$m(s) = \frac{|\{t \in J : s \subseteq t\}|}{2^{|K|}}.$$

The following theorem is an extension of theorem 4 dealing with distributions instead of sets.

Theorem 8. *For every $k \in \omega$, $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ there exists $n \in \omega$ such that if $I \subseteq \omega$, $|I| > n$ then there is a partition $2^I = a^0 \cup a^1$ such that*

1. *if $X \subseteq 2^I$, $|X| \leq k$ then $\left|\frac{|\bigcap_{x \in X}(a^0 + x)|}{2^{|I|}} - \frac{1}{2^{|X|}}\right| < \delta$,*

2. *If m is a distribution on 2^I, and $\overline{m} \geq \varepsilon$ then there exists a set $T_m \subseteq 2^I$, $\frac{|T_m|}{2^{|I|}} > 1-\delta$ such that for every $s \in T_m$, $\left|\sum\{m(t) : t \in a^0 + s\} - \frac{\overline{m}}{2}\right| < \delta$.*

Proof. This is a generalization of theorem 4. Suppose that k, ε, δ are given and $a_0 \dot\cup a_1 = 2^I$ are as in theorem 4. First observe that if $m = \frac{b}{2^{|I|}} \cdot \chi_U$, where $U \subseteq 2^I$ and $\frac{|U|}{2^{|I|}} = a \geq \varepsilon$, $0 < b \leq 1$ and χ_U is a characteristic function of the set U then it follows immediately from theorem 4 that for $s \in T_U$,

$$\left|\sum\{m(t) : t \in a^0 + s\} - \frac{\overline{m}}{2}\right| < b\delta \leq \delta.$$

Next, note that if $\{U_i : i < \ell\}$, $\{b_i : i < \ell\}$ are such that

1. $U_i \cap U_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$,
2. $0 < b_i \leq 1$ for $i < \ell$,
3. $\frac{|U_i|}{2^{|I|}} \geq \varepsilon$ for every $i < \ell$,
4. $m_i = \frac{b_i}{2^{|I|}} \cdot \chi_{U_i}$.

then for $m = \sum_{i<\ell} m_i$ and $s \in \bigcap_{i<\ell} T_{U_i}$ we have

$$\left|\sum\{m(t) : t \in a^0 + s\} - \frac{\overline{m}}{2}\right| < \sum_{i<\ell} b_i\delta \leq \ell \cdot \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\left|\bigcap_{i<\ell} T_{U_i}\right|}{2^{|I|}} \geq 1 - \ell\delta.$$

Fix $k \in \omega, \varepsilon > \delta > 0$. Apply theorem 4 for k, $\varepsilon' = \delta^2$ and $\delta' = \delta^3$ to get n and $a_0 \dot\cup a_1 = 2^I$. We will show that a_0, a_1 satisfy the requirements of the theorem.

Consider an arbitrary distribution m and let $\ell = \frac{1}{\delta}$ (without loss of generality it is an integer). Let $\{U_i : i < \ell\}$ be defined as

$$U_i = \left\{s \in 2^I : \frac{i\delta}{2^{|I|}} < m(s) \leq \frac{(i+1)\delta}{2^{|I|}}\right\}.$$

Let $K = \left\{i : \frac{|U_i|}{2^{|I|}} \geq \delta^2\right\}$. Put $m' = \sum_{i\in K} \frac{i\delta}{2^{|I|}} \cdot \chi_{U_i}$ and $U = \bigcup_{i<\ell} U_i$. Note that for $s \in U$, and $i \in K$, $m(s) - m'(s) \leq \frac{\delta}{2^{|I|}}$ and $|\overline{m} - \overline{m'}| \leq \delta + \sum_{i<\ell} \delta^2 = 2\delta$.

Apply 4 to each of the sets $\{U_i : i \in K\}$ to get sets T_{U_i} and put $T_m = \bigcap_{i\in K} T_{U_i}$. Clearly $\frac{|T_m|}{2^{|I|}} \geq 1 - \ell \cdot \delta^3 = 1 - \delta^2$. Now, for $t \in T_m$,

$$\sum\{m(s) : s \in a^0 + t\} \leq \sum\{m'(s) : s \in a^0 + t\} + 2\delta \leq \frac{\overline{m'}}{2} + \delta^3 + 2\delta + \delta^2 \leq \frac{\overline{m}}{2} + 3\delta.$$

Lower estimate is the same and we get

$$\left|\sum\{m(s) : s \in a^0 + t\} - \frac{\overline{m}}{2}\right| \leq 3\delta.$$

□

3. ZFC result

As a warm-up before proving the main result we will show a ZFC result that uses only small portion of the combinatorial tools developed above.

In order to show that $\mathcal{SN} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ one could use the following result:

Theorem 9 ([5])**.** *Suppose that $F : 2^\omega \longrightarrow 2^\omega$ is a continuous function. There exists a set $H \in \mathcal{M}$ such that*

$$\forall z \in 2^\omega \ \exists y \in 2^\omega \ F^{-1}(y) \subseteq H + z.$$

We will show that the measure analog of this theorem is false.

Theorem 10. *There exists a continuous function $F : 2^\omega \longrightarrow 2^\omega$ such that for every set $G \in \mathcal{N}$,*

$$\{z : \exists y \ F^{-1}(y) \subseteq G + z\} \in \mathcal{N}.$$

Proof. Let δ_n, k_n, I_n for $n \in \omega$ be such that

1. $\delta_n = 4^{-n-3}$, $k_n = 2^{n+3}$,
2. I_n is chosen as in Theorem 4(1) for $k = k_n$ and $\delta = \delta_n$ and
   (a) $|I_{n+1}| \geq 4^{n+10} 2^{|I_0 \cup \dots \cup I_n|}$
   (b) $\min(I_{n+1}) \geq \max(I_n)$.

Let $2^{I_n} = a_n^0 \dot\cup a_n^1$ be a partition as in theorem 4(1).

Define $F : 2^\omega \longrightarrow 2^\omega$ as

$$F(x)(n) = i \iff x{\restriction}I_n \in a_n^i.$$

Note that for every $x \in 2^\omega$, $F^{-1}(x) = \prod_n a_n^{x(n)}$ is a perfect set.

Suppose that $G \subseteq 2^\omega$ is null, and let $U \subseteq 2^\omega$ be an open set of measure $1/2 > \varepsilon > 0$ containing G. We will show that

$$\mu\left(\{z : \exists y \ F^{-1}(y) \subseteq U + z\}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Let

$$U_0^\emptyset = \left\{ s \in 2^{I_0} : \frac{\mu([s] \cap U)}{\mu([s])} \geq \frac{3}{4} \right\},$$

and for $n > 0$ and $t \in 2^{I_0 \cup \dots \cup I_{n-1}}$ let

$$U_n^t = \left\{ s \in 2^{I_n} : \frac{\mu([t^\frown s] \cap U)}{\mu([t^\frown s])} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+2}} \right\}.$$

Easy computation shows that $\mu(U_0^\emptyset) \leq 4/3\varepsilon \leq 2/3$. For $t \in 2^{I_0 \cup \dots \cup I_{n-1}}$ we say that t is good if $t{\restriction}I_0 \notin U_0^\emptyset$, and for $j \leq n-1$, $t{\restriction}I_j \notin U_j^{t{\restriction}I_0 \cup \dots \cup I_{j-1}}$. In particular, if $t \in 2^{I_0 \cup \dots \cup I_{n-1}}$ is good then by induction we show that

$$\mu(U_n^t) \leq \frac{2^{n+2}}{2^{n+2}-1} \cdot \frac{\mu(U \cap [t])}{\mu([t])} \leq \frac{2^{n+2}}{2^{n+2}-1} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right) \leq \frac{2^{n+2}-2}{2^{n+2}-1}.$$

For a good sequence $t \in 2^{I_0 \cup \dots \cup I_{n-1}}$ let

$$Z_n^t = \{v \in 2^{I_n} : \exists i \in 2 \ a_n^i + v \subseteq U_n^t\}.$$

By theorem 4(1), for $i = 0, 1$ and $X \subseteq 2^{I_n}$ of size $n + 10$,

$$\frac{|X + a_n^i|}{2^{|I_n|}} = 1 - \frac{|\bigcap_{x \in X}(a_n^i + x)|}{2^{|I_n|}} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+10}} - \frac{1}{4^{n+3}} \geq \frac{2^{n+2}-2}{2^{n+2}-1}.$$

Therefore, $|Z_n^t| \leq n+10$. Let $Z_n = \bigcup\{Z_n^t : t \in 2^{I_0 \cup \dots \cup I_{n-1}} \text{ is good}\}$. We get that $|Z_n| \leq (n+10) \cdot 2^{|I_0 \cup \dots \cup I_{n-1}|}$, so in particular, $|Z_n| \cdot 2^{-|I_n|} \leq 2^{-n}$. Let

$$W_U = \{z \in 2^\omega : \exists n \; z{\restriction}I_n \in Z_n\}.$$

Note that if $\varepsilon < 2^{-n-|I_0 \cup \dots \cup I_n|}$ then $Z_0 = Z_1 = \dots = Z_n = \emptyset$. Therefore, $\mu(W_U) \longrightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0$. The following lemma finishes the proof.

Lemma 11. *If $F^{-1}(y) \subseteq U + z$ then $z \in W_U$.*

Proof. Suppose not. By induction build a branch $r \in z + F^{-1}(y)$ such that $r + z{\restriction}I_0 \notin (U)_0^\emptyset$, $r + z{\restriction}I_1 \notin (U)_1^{r+z{\restriction}I_0}$, etc. Since U is open, it means that $r + z \notin U$. □

□

4. Main result

Theorem 12. *Assume that $\mathsf{cov}(\mathcal{N}) = 2^{\aleph_0}$. There exists a set $X \in \mathcal{SM}$ and a continuous function $F : 2^\omega \longrightarrow 2^\omega$ such that $F"(X) = 2^\omega$.*

Suppose that we have sequences $\{\varepsilon_n, \delta_n : n \in \omega\}$ and a partition $\{I_n : n \in \omega\}$ such that

1. $2^{|I_0 \cup I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_n|} \cdot \delta_{n+1} < \varepsilon_{n+1} < 2^{-n}$,
2. $2^{|I_0 \cup I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_n|} \cdot \varepsilon_{n+1} < \delta_n$,
3. I_n, $a_n^0 \dot\cup a_n^1 = 2^{I_n}$ is chosen for δ_n, ε_n as in theorem 8.

As in theorem 10 define F as $F(x)(n) = i \iff x{\restriction}I_n \in a_n^i$.

Lemma 13. *Suppose that a σ-ideal $\mathcal{I}$ on 2^ω, and σ-ideals $\mathcal{J}_x$ of $F^{-1}(x)$ are such that the following holds:*

1. *For every $G \in \mathcal{N}$, $\{z \in 2^\omega : \exists x \; (G + z) \cap F^{-1}(x) \notin \mathcal{J}_x\} \in \mathcal{I}$.*
2. *for every $G \in \mathcal{N}$ and $t \in 2^\omega$, $\{z : t \in (G + z)\} \in \mathcal{I}$.*
3. *$\forall x \;\; \mathsf{cov}(\mathcal{J}_x) = \mathsf{cov}(\mathcal{I}) = 2^{\aleph_0}$.*

Then there exists a set $\in \mathcal{SM}$ such that $F"(X) = 2^\omega$.

Proof. Let $\{G_\alpha : \alpha < c\}$ be enumeration of null sets, and $\{t_\alpha : \alpha < c\}$ enumeration of 2^ω. Build by induction sequences $\{x_\alpha, z_\alpha : \alpha < c\}$ such that

1. $x_\alpha \in F^{-1}(t_\alpha)$,
2. $\forall \beta < c \; x_\beta \notin G_\alpha + z_\alpha$.

Suppose that $\{x_\beta, z_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ are given.

Consider sets $Z = \{z \in 2^\omega : \exists x \; (G_\alpha + z) \cap F^{-1}(x) \notin \mathcal{J}_x\}$, and for $\beta < \alpha$, $Z_\beta = \{z : x_\beta \in (G_\alpha + z)\}$. Let $z_\alpha \notin Z \cup \bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} Z_\beta$. Next consider $F^{-1}(t_\alpha)$ and choose $x_\alpha \in F^{-1}(t_\alpha) \setminus \bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha}(G_\beta + z_\beta)$. □

In our case $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{J}_x$ is the measure ideal on $F^{-1}(x)$. In other words, let $c_n = 2^{|I_n|-1}$, and let $\mathcal{J}$ be a σ-ideal of null sets (with respect to the standard product measure) on $\mathcal{X} = \prod_{n=0}^\infty c_n$. Note that $\mathcal{X}$ is chosen to be isomorphic (level by level) with $F^{-1}(x)$ for any x. Let $\mathcal{J}_x$ be the copy of $\mathcal{J}$ on $F^{-1}(x)$.

Specifically, define measure μ_x on $F^{-1}(x)$ as $\mu_x = \prod_{n \in \omega} \mu_n^{x(n)}$, where μ_n^i is a normalized counting measure on a_n^i $(i = 0, 1)$. Clearly, μ_x is essentially the Lebesgue measure on $F^{-1}(x)$.

Now what we want to show is that

Lemma 14. *For every $G \in \mathcal{N}$,*

$$\{z : \exists x\ \mu_x(F^{-1}(x) \cap (G+z)) > 0\} \in \mathcal{N}.$$

Before we go further let us briefly look at the nature of the difficulties in proving the result using theorem 10. The problem is that the relation $F^{-1}(y) \not\subseteq G + z$ is not additive. Quick analysis shows that every choice of a point z that will shift G away from the set we are constructing has to fulfill continuum many requirements. That is why we shift from $F^{-1}(y) \not\subseteq G + z$ to $F^{-1}(y) \cap (G + z) \in \mathcal{J}_y$, an additive requirement. We still have continuum many constraints, this time we need to find z such that $F^{-1}(y) \cap (G + z) \in \mathcal{J}_y$ for *every* y.

5. Proof of lemma 14

Suppose that $G \subseteq 2^\omega$ is a null set.

Lemma 15. *There are sequences $\{K_n, K'_n : n \in \omega\}, \{J_n, J'_n : n \in \omega\}$ such that*

1. *K_n's and K'_n's are consecutive intervals that are unions of I_m's,*
2. *$J_n \subseteq 2^{K_n}$, $J'_n \subseteq 2^{K'_n}$,*
3. *$\frac{|J_n|}{2^{|K_n|}}, \frac{|J'_n|}{2^{|K'_n|}} < \frac{1}{2^n}$,*
4. *$G \subseteq H_1 \cup H_2$, where $H_1 = \{x : \exists^\infty n\ x{\restriction}K_n \in J_n\}$ and $H_2 = \{x : \exists^\infty n\ x{\restriction}K'_n \in J'_n\}$.*

Proof. Use the theorem (and its proof) 2.5.7 of [1]. □

Clearly, if we show the lemma 14 for H_1 and for H_2 then we show it for G. Therefore, without loss of generality we can assume that $G = \{x : \exists^\infty n\ x{\restriction}K_n \in J_n\}$, where K_n, J_n are as above. Moreover, we can assume that $\frac{|J_n|}{2^{|K_n|}} = \frac{1}{2^n}$, since the property we are interested in reflects downwards.

Now suppose that for $n \in \omega$, $K_n = I_{k_n} \cup \cdots \cup I_{k_{n+1}-1}$. Fix $z, x \in 2^\omega$ and let for $n \in \omega$,

$$J_n^{x,z} = (J_n + z{\restriction}K_n) \cap \prod_{j=k_n}^{k_{n+1}-1} a_j^{x(j)}.$$

Of course $J_n^{x,z}$ depends only on $z{\restriction}K_n$ and $x{\restriction}[k_n, k_{n+1})$.

It is easy to see that $F^{-1}(x) \cap (G + z) = \{v \in F^{-1}(x) : \exists^\infty n\ v{\restriction}K_n \in J_n^{x,z}\}$. Since $F^{-1}(x) = \prod_n \prod_{j=k_n}^{k_{n+1}-1} a_j^{x(j)}$ it follows that

$$\mu_x(F^{-1}(x) \cap (G+z)) = 0 \iff \sum_n \frac{|J^{x,z}|}{\left|\prod_{j=k_n}^{k_{n+1}-1} a_j^{x(j)}\right|} < \infty.$$

Thus we need to find sets $T_n \subseteq 2^{K_n}$ such that $\mu(\prod_n T_n) > 0$ and such that if $z \in \mathbb{Q} + \prod_n T_n$ then

$$\forall x \in 2^\omega\ \sum_n \frac{|J^{x,z}|}{\left|\prod_{j=k_n}^{k_{n+1}-1} a_j^{x(j)}\right|} < \infty.$$

Fix $n \in \omega$ and let $K_n = K = I_k \cup I_{k+1} \cup \cdots \cup I_{k+n}$, $J \subseteq 2^K$, $\frac{|J|}{2^{|K|}} = 2^{-n} \geq \varepsilon_k$. It suffices to show that there exists a a set $T_J \subseteq 2^K$ such that $\frac{|T_J|}{2^{|K|}} > 1 - \delta_{k-1}$ and

for every $s \in T_J$, for every $t \in 2^{[k,k+n]}$,

$$\left| \frac{|(J+s) \cap \prod_{j=0}^{n} a_{k+j}^{t(j)}|}{|\prod_{j=0}^{n} a_{k+j}^{t(j)}|} - \frac{|J|}{2^{|K|}} \right| < \delta_{k-1}.$$

In this way for $z \in \mathbb{Q} + \prod_n T_n$, and $x \in 2^\omega$, and sufficiently large n,

$$\frac{|J_n^{x,z}|}{\left|\prod_{j=k_n}^{k_{n+1}-1} a_j^{x(j)}\right|} = \frac{|(J+z) \cap \prod_{j=0}^{n} a_{k+j}^{x(j)}|}{|\prod_{j=0}^{n} a_{k+j}^{t(j)}|} \leq \frac{|J|}{2^{|K|}} + \delta_{k-1}.$$

It follows that to finish the construction it suffices to prove the lemma below.

Lemma 16. *Suppose that $K = I_k \cup I_{k+1} \cup \cdots \cup I_{k+n}$, $J \subseteq 2^K$, $\frac{|J|}{2^{|K|}} \geq \varepsilon_k$. Then there exists a set $T_J \subseteq 2^K$ such that $\frac{|T_J|}{2^{|K|}} > 1 - \delta_{k-1}$ and for every $s \in T_J$,*

$$\left| \frac{|(J+s) \cap \prod_{j=0}^{n} a_{k+j}^{0}|}{|\prod_{j=0}^{n} a_{k+j}^{0}|} - \frac{|J|}{2^{|K|}} \right| < \delta_{k-1}.$$

Moreover, for every $s \in T_J$, and every $t \in 2^{[k,k+n]}$,

$$\left| \frac{|(J+s) \cap \prod_{j=0}^{n} a_{k+j}^{t(j)}|}{|\prod_{j=0}^{n} a_{k+j}^{t(j)}|} - \frac{|J|}{2^{|K|}} \right| < \delta_{k-1}.$$

Proof. For $0 \leq i \leq n$ define distribution m_i on $2^{I_k \cup I_{k+1} \cup \cdots \cup I_{k+i}}$ as

$$m_i(s) = \frac{|\{t \in J : s \subseteq t\}|}{2^{|K|}}.$$

Note that $\overline{m_i} = \frac{|J|}{2^{|K|}}$. Observe that the distribution m_n coincides with J, that is,

$$m_n(s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^{|K|}} & \text{if } s \in J \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

We will show by induction that for $i \leq n$, there exists a set $T_{m_i} \subseteq 2^{I_k \cup I_{k+1} \cup \cdots \cup I_{k+i}}$, $\frac{|T_{m_i}|}{2^{|I_k \cup I_{k+1} \cup \ldots I_{k+i}|}} > (1-\delta_k) \cdot \prod_{j<i}(1-\delta_{k+j}) > 1 - \delta_{k-1}$ such that for every $s \in T_{m_i}$,

$$\left| \sum \left\{ m_i(t) : t \in \prod_{j=0}^{i} (a_{k+j}^0 + s \restriction I_{k+j}) \right\} - \frac{1}{2^{i+1}} \cdot \frac{|J|}{2^{|K|}} \right| < \frac{\delta_{k-1}}{2^i}.$$

In particular, for $i = n$, and $s \in T_{m_n} = T_J$,

$$\left| \sum \left\{ m_n(t) : t \in \prod_{j=0}^{n} (a_{k+j}^0 + s \restriction I_j) \right\} - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \cdot \frac{|J|}{2^{|K|}} \right| < \frac{\delta_{k-1}}{2^n}.$$

The last equation means that for $s \in T_J$,

$$\left| \frac{\left| J \cap \left(\prod_{j=0}^{n} a_{k+j}^0 + s \restriction I_j \right) \right|}{2^{|K|}} - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \cdot \frac{|J|}{2^{|K|}} \right| < \frac{\delta_{k-1}}{2^n}.$$

By moving s, and multiplying by 2^n we finally get,

$$\left|\frac{\left|(J+s)\cap\prod_{j=0}^{n}a^0_{k+j}\right|}{\left|\prod_{j=0}^{n}a^0_{k+j}\right|}-\frac{|J|}{2^{|K|}}\right|<\delta_{k-1}.$$

Let

$$\left|\frac{\left|(J+s)\cap\prod_{j=0}^{n}a^0_{k+j}\right|}{\left|\prod_{j=0}^{i}a^0_{k+j}\right|}-\frac{|J|}{2^{|K|}}\right|=\mathrm{error}_n.$$

We want to show that $\mathrm{error}_n<\delta_{k-1}$.

Before we start induction note that we can shrink J slightly so that the resulting set has the following property,

$$\forall i\le n\ \forall s\in 2^{I_k\cup\cdots\cup I_{k+i}}\ \left(m_i(s)\neq 0\to m_i(s)\ge\frac{\varepsilon_{k+i}}{2^{|I_k\cup\cdots\cup I_{k+i}|}}\right).$$

By removing from J all nodes (and their descendants) that do not have this property we drop the "measure" by $\varepsilon_{k+n}+\varepsilon_{k+n-1}+\cdots+\varepsilon_k<2\varepsilon_k<\dfrac{\delta_{k-1}}{2}$. So let assume that J has the above property and later add $\dfrac{\delta_{k-1}}{2}$ to the error term.

The inductive proof is straightforward – for m_0 we get T_{m_0} immediately from theorem 8.

Now consider m_{i+1}. For each $t\in 2^{I_k\cup\cdots\cup I_{k+i}}$ let m^t_{i+1} be the distribution on $2^{I_{k+i+1}}$ defined as $m^t_{i+1}(s)=2^{|I_k\cup\cdots\cup I_{k+i}|}m_{i+1}(t^\frown s)$.

Clearly, $m^t_{i+1}(s)\le 2^{|I_k\cup\cdots\cup I_{k+i}|}\dfrac{1}{2^{|I_k\cup\cdots\cup I_{k+i+1}|}}=\dfrac{1}{2^{|I_{k+i+1}|}}$ for every s. Moreover, $\overline{m^t_{i+1}}=2^{|I_k\cup\cdots\cup I_{k+i}|}m_i(t)$. In particular, shrinking J as above yields, if $\overline{m^t_{i+1}}>0$ then $\overline{m^t_{i+1}}\ge\varepsilon_{k+i}$. For every $t\in 2^{I_k\cup\cdots\cup I_{k+i}}$, $\overline{m^t_{i+1}}>0$ apply theorem 8 to get a set $T_t\subseteq 2^{I_{k+i+1}}$ such that $\dfrac{|T_t|}{2^{|I_{k+i+1}|}}\ge 1-\delta_{k+i+1}$, and for every $s\in T_t$,

$$\left|\sum\left\{m^t_{i+1}(v):v\in a^0_{k+i+1}+s\right\}-\frac{\overline{m^t_{i+1}}}{2}\right|<\delta_{k+i+1}.$$

Let $T_{m_{i+1}}=T_{m_i}\times\bigcap\{T_t:\overline{m^t_{i+1}}>0\}$. Clearly,

$$\frac{|T_{m_{i+1}}|}{2^{|I_k\cup\cdots\cup I_{k+i+1}|}}=\frac{|T_{m_i}|}{2^{|I_k\cup\cdots\cup I_{k+i}|}}\cdot\frac{|\bigcap_t T_t|}{2^{|I_{k+i+1}|}}\ge$$

$$\left((1-\delta_k)\cdot\prod_{j<i}(1-\delta_{k+j})\right)\cdot\left(1-2^{|I_k\cup\cdots\cup I_{k+i+1}|}\delta_{k+i+1}\right)\ge$$

$$(1-\delta_k)\cdot\prod_{j\le i}(1-\delta_{k+j})>1-\delta_{k-1}.$$

Suppose that $s \in T_{m_{i+1}}$.

$$\sum\left\{m_{i+1}(v) : v \in \prod_{j=0}^{i+1}(a^0_{k+j} + s{\restriction}I_{k+j})\right\} =$$

$$\sum\left\{\sum\left\{m_{i+1}(t^\frown v) : v \in a^0_{k+i+1} + s{\restriction}I_{k+i+1}\right\} : t \in \prod_{j=0}^{i}(a^0_{k+j} + s{\restriction}I_{k+j})\right\} =$$

$$\sum\left\{\sum\left\{\frac{1}{2^{|I_k\cup\cdots\cup I_{k+i}|}}m^t_{i+1}(v) : v \in \prod_{j=0}^{i+1}(a^0_{k+j} + s{\restriction}I_{k+j})\right\} : t \in \prod_{j=0}^{i}(a^0_{k+j} + s{\restriction}I_{k+j})\right\} \leq$$

$$\sum\left\{\frac{1}{2^{|I_k\cup\cdots\cup I_{k+i}|}}\frac{\overline{m^t_{i+1}}}{2} + \delta_{k+i+1} : t \in \prod_{j=0}^{i}(a^0_{k+j} + s{\restriction}I_{k+j})\right\} \leq$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum\left\{\frac{1}{2^{|I_k\cup\cdots\cup I_{k+i}|}}\overline{m^t_{i+1}} + 2\delta_{k+i+1} : t \in \prod_{j=0}^{i}(a^0_{k+j} + s{\restriction}I_{k+j})\right\} =$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum\left\{m_i(t) + 2\delta_{k+i+1} : t \in \prod_{j=0}^{i}(a^0_{k+j} + s{\restriction}I_{k+j})\right\} \leq$$

$$2^{|I_k\cup\cdots\cup I_{k+i}|}\delta_{k+i+1} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2^i}\frac{|J|}{2^{|K|}} + \mathrm{error}_i\right) \leq \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}\frac{|J|}{2^{|K|}} + \frac{\mathrm{error}_i}{2} + 2^{|I_k\cup\cdots\cup I_{k+i}|}\delta_{k+i+1},$$

where error_i is the error term given by the inductive hypothesis. That gives us

$$\mathrm{error}_i \leq \frac{\delta_k}{2^i} + \frac{\delta_{k+1}}{2^{i-1}} + \cdots + \delta_{k+i} \leq \frac{\delta_{k-1}}{2^{i+1}},$$

so

$$\sum\left\{m_{i+1}(v) : v \in \prod_{j=0}^{i+1}(a^0_{k+j} + s{\restriction}I_{k+j})\right\} \leq \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}\frac{|J|}{2^{|K|}} + \frac{\delta_{k-1}}{2^{i+2}}.$$

The lower bound is similar, and we get for $s \in T_{m_{i+1}}$,

$$\left|\sum\left\{m_{i+1}(t) : t \in \prod_{j=0}^{i+1}(a^0_{k+j} + s{\restriction}I_{k+j})\right\} - \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}\cdot\frac{|J|}{2^{|K|}}\right| < \frac{\delta_{k-1}}{2^{i+2}}.$$

As before that yields the estimate

$$\left|\frac{\left|(J+s)\cap\prod_{j=0}^{n}a^0_{k+j}\right|}{\left|\prod_{j=0}^{n}a^0_{k+j}\right|} - \frac{|J|}{2^{|K|}}\right| < \frac{\delta_{k-1}}{2}.$$

Since we started by reducing the "measure" of J by $\dfrac{\delta_{k-1}}{2}$ we get the required estimate.

Finally we will show the second part of the lemma. We will proceed by induction on n. If $n = 0$ then $K = I_k$ and by the part already proved

$$\left|\frac{|(J+s)\cap a^0_k|}{|a^0_k|} - \frac{|J|}{2^{|K|}}\right| < \delta_{k-1}.$$

Now

$$|(J+s)\cap a^1_k| = |(J+s)\setminus((J+s)\cap a^0_k)| \le |J| - \left(\frac{|J|}{2^{|K|}} - \delta_{k-1}\right)\cdot |a^0_k| \le$$
$$|J+s| - \frac{1}{2}|J| + \delta_{k-1}|a^0_k| = \frac{1}{2}|J| + \delta_{k-1}|a^0_k|$$

Thus

$$\frac{|(J+s)\cap a^1_k|}{2^{|K|}} \le \frac{\frac{1}{2}|J| + \delta_{k-1}|a^0_k|}{2^{|K|}} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{|J|}{2^{|K|}} + \frac{\delta_{k-1}}{2}.$$

The lower estimate is similar so we have

$$\left|\frac{|(J+s)\cap a^1_k|}{2^{|K|}} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{|J|}{2^{|K|}}\right| < \frac{\delta_{k-1}}{2},$$

and

$$\left|\frac{|(J+s)\cap a^1_k|}{|a^1_k|} - \frac{|J|}{2^{|K|}}\right| < \delta_{k-1}.$$

The rest of the proof is the repetition of the above argument, the single step computed here shows that there is no difference whether we use a^0_j or a^1_j, the estimates do not change. □

References

[1] Tomek Bartoszyński and Haim Judah. *Set Theory: on the structure of the real line*. A.K. Peters, 1995.

[2] Tomek Bartoszyński and Saharon Shelah. On Borel and dual Borel Conjecture. in preparation.

[3] Tomek Bartoszyński and Saharon Shelah. Strongly meager sets are not an ideal. *Journal of Mathematical Logic*, 1:1–34, 2001.

[4] Paul Corazza. The Generalized Borel Conjecture and strongly proper orders. *Transactions of The American Mathematical Society*, 316(1):115–140, 1989.

[5] Andrzej Nowik and Tomasz Weiss. Strongly meager sets and their uniformly continuous images. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 129(1):265–270, 2000.

Department of Mathematics, Boise State University, Boise, Idaho 83725 U.S.A.
E-mail address: tomek@math.boisestate.edu, http://math.boisestate.edu/~tomek

Current address: Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Abrahama 18, 81 – 825 Sopot, Poland

University of Gdańsk, Institute of Mathematics, ul. Wita Stwosza 57, 80 – 952 Gdańsk, Poland
E-mail address: matan@julia.univ.gda.pl

Department of Mathematics, WSRP, Siedlce
E-mail address: weiss@wsrp.siedlce.pl